(*e*) Has it come to the notice of the Government that there is no proper medical aid in these hoblies for want of dispensaries close by?

General Secretary to Government.—

A.—40. (*a*) Bharangi and Karur are at a distance of about 11 and 19 miles, respectively from Sagar where there is a dispensary.

		1921	*1931*
(*b*) Bharangi	....	5,929	4,897
Karur	....	7,500	6,429

(*c*) Decreasing.

(*d*) It is difficult to assign reasons for the decrease.

(*e*) No. It was found that there were no patients practically during the monsoon and at other times, the average daily attendance was so small in the two dispensaries at Aralgode and Karur as long as they were functioning in the years 1928-1931, that they had to be closed.

Mr. V. V. Iyer (Shimoga Taluk).—

Q.—41. Will the Government be pleased to place on the table the article published in the *Daily Post*, dated 9th March 1933, under the caption "The Meeting of the Raiyats of the Shimoga Taluk" and state what action has been taken on the following points:—

(*a*) The refund of upset price;

(*b*) classification of lands under the Bhadra Channel;

(*c*) reduction of assessment on lands;

(*d*) grant from the State Forests timber, etc., to raiyats under the Malnad Raiyats Special Privileges Rules;

(*e*) establishment of a Sugar Factory at Bhadravati?

General Secretary to Government.—

A.—41. (*a*) to (*e*) An article appearing in a newspaper is not a document that Government can lay on the table of the House.

Mr. K. Krishnappa (Shimoga Municipality).—

Q.—42. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) Whether they are aware that during the recent disturbance at Shimoga on 7th May 1933, a good many traders lost all their properties as a result of incendiarism and looting?

(*b*) Have not Government given help to sufferers at Davangere?

Chief Secretary to Government.—

A.—42. (*a*) The number of shops and houses destroyed were 14, and of those damaged by fire 4. This includes petty stalls also. Of these, 4 were partly looted and 2 were completely looted.

(*b*) The answer is in the negative.

Mr. T. Shadaksharappa (Chellakere Taluk).—

Q.—43. Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) Whether they are aware of the prayer of the Parasurampur raiyats for the creation of several irrigation facilities for them?

(*b*) What is the action taken in respect of each one of them?

(*c*) What is the expenditure incurred by Government in this respect?

(*d*) Which of the schemes has the Government decided to undertake?

(*e*) In case no such decision has been arrived at, what steps have the Government taken in respect of the repeated representations of the raiyats for diverting the Kanehalla into the Parasurampur Tank?

(*f*) Is it a fact that the raiyats have agreed to pay contribution towards this project?

(*g*) If they have so agreed to pay, why is the project not yet been taken up for execution?

Secretary to Government, Public Works Department.—

A.—43. (*a*) Yes. Three proposals, *viz.*,—

1. Construction of a new tank at Parasurampur.
2. Supply of water by the extension of Marikaneve Channel.
3. Construction of a dam across the Vedavati near Gorakatte Village.